arXiv:1404.0219v1 [astro-ph.HE] 1 Apr 2014

On the Spin Period Distribution in Be/X-ray Binaries

Z.-Q. Cheng[1], Y. Shao[1], and X.-D. Li[1,2]

[1]*Department of Astronomy, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, China; lixd@nju.edu.cn*

[2]*Key laboratory of Modern Astronomy and Astrophysics, Nanjing University, Ministry of Education, Nanjing 210093, China*

ABSTRACT

There is a remarkable correlation between the spin periods of the accreting neutron stars in Be/X-ray binaries (BeXBs) and their orbital periods . Recently Knigge et al. (2011) showed that the distribution of the spin periods contains two distinct subpopulations peaked at ~ 10 s and ~ 200 s respectively, and suggested that they may be related to two types of supernovae for the formation of the neutron stars, i.e., core-collapse and electron-capture supernovae. Here we propose that the bimodal spin period distribution is likely to be ascribed to different accretion modes of the neutron stars in BeXBs. When the neutron star tends to capture material from the warped, outer part of the Be star disk and experiences giant outbursts, a radiatively-cooling dominated disk is formed around the neutron star, which spins up the neutron star, and is responsible for the short period subpopulation. In BeXBs that are dominated by normal outbursts or persistent, the accretion flow is advection-dominated or quasi-spherical. The spin-up process is accordingly inefficient, leading to longer periods of the neutron stars. The potential relation between the subpopulations and the supernova mechanisms is also discussed.

Subject headings: binaries: general - stars: neutron - X-rays: binaries

1. Introduction

High-mass X-ray binaries (HMXBs) usually consist of a neutron star (NS) and an optical companion star of mass higher than about $8M_{\odot}$. According to the spectral characteristics of the optical companions, HMXBs can be further divided into supergiant X-ray binaries (SGXBs) and Be/X-ray binaries (BeXBs) (Reig 2011, for a recent review). Most BeXBs are transient systems and present moderately eccentric orbits ($e \gtrsim 0.3$). The NS captures the wind material from its companion, producing X-ray radiation. Meanwhile, the spin of the

NS changes with time, and both spin-up and spin-down have been observed when accretion took place (Nagase 1989; Bildsten et al. 1997).

Corbet (1984, 1985, 1986) first noticed that different subgroups of HMXBs appear to be located in different regions in the spin perion ($P_{\rm s}$) vs. orbital period ($P_{\rm orb}$) diagram (also called the Corbet diagram). In particular, there exists a positive correlation between $P_{\rm s}$ and $P_{\rm orb}$ for BeXBs, although with a large observed scatter. The relations between $P_{\rm s}$ and $P_{\rm orb}$ in HMXBs are likely to reflect the wind structure and accretion processes in HMXBs (e.g., Stella, White & Rosner 1986; van den Heuvel & Rappaport 1987; Waters & van Kerkwijk 1989; King 1991; Li & van den Heuvel 1996).

It is generally thought that the interaction between the NS magnetic field and the captured material from its binary companion can lead to a so-called equilibrium spin period $P_{\rm eq}$ of the NS (Bhattacharya & van den Heuvel 1991). However, the derived values of $P_{\rm eq}$ for wind-accreting SGXBs are always lower than the observed ones of $P_{\rm s}$. It was suggested that the present $P_{\rm s}$ distribution may result from the equilibrium spin period when the companion star was still on the main sequence with a much weaker wind, and the wind of a SG is unable to transfer enough angular momentum to move the NS towards a new equilibrium value (e.g. Stella et al. 1986). The situation is more complicated in BeXBs. Be star winds are known to be disklike rather spherically expanding as in SGs, and a Be star can transform to be a B star and vice versa from time to time. The mechanism for this transition is still unknown. The varying Be star wind and the eccentric orbit imply that there does not exist a stable equilibrium spin period. Waters & van Kerkwijk (1989) argued that the observational selection effects, that is, BeXBs are more likely to be observed when the NS moves within the dense equatorial disk wind, and the difference between the spin-up and spin-down timescales when the NS accretes within and outside of the disk wind, imply that $P_{\rm s}$ is potentially correlated with the accretion rate during outbursts, and thus $P_{\rm orb}$.

Recently Knigge et al. (2011) showed that the $P_{\rm s} - P_{\rm orb}$ correlation in BeXBs becomes more dispersed and a bimodal distribution for both $P_{\rm s}$ and $P_{\rm orb}$ seems to exist. While the bimodality is somewhat marginal in $P_{\rm orb}$, the $P_{\rm s}$ distribution has a clear gap at $\sim$ 40 s with two peaks around 10 s and 200 s, respectively. Knigge et al. (2011) proposed that two types of supernovae (SNe) may be responsible for the two subpopulation of BeXBs: the electron-capture supernovae (ECS) usually produce NSs with shorter spin periods, and lower eccentricities, while iron core-collapse supernovae (CCS) are preferred for NSs with longer spin periods and higher eccentricities.

The original idea for the ECS candidates in BeXBs stems from a subclass of BeXBs that can be explained by low NS kicks (Pfahl et al. 2002). These BeXBs are characterized by long spin periods, persistent low X-ray luminosities ($\sim 10^{34} - 10^{35}$ ergs^{-1}), wide binary

orbits ($P_{\rm orb} > 30$ days), and low eccentricities ($e \lesssim 0.2$). The prototype of them is X Persei ($P_{\rm s} = 837$ s, White et al. 1976). Other sources include RX J0146.9+6121 (1412 s, Haberl et al. 1998), RX J1037.5+5647 (860 s) and RX J0440.9+4431 (202.5 s) (Reig & Roche 1999). Recently discovered BeXBs SXP 1062 (1062 s, Hénault-Brunet et al. 2012), 1RXS J225352.8+624354 (47 s, Esposito et al. 2013), and SWJ2000.6+3210 (890 s, Pradhan et al. 2013) may also belong to this subclass. Podsiadlowski et al. (2004) and van den Heuvel (2004) suggested that the ECS mechanism may account for the low kicks in these BeXBs. This is different from the proposal by Knigge et al. (2011) that ECS-BeXBs may have short spin periods, relatively narrow orbits and low eccentricities.

A thorough investigation on the origin of the subpopulations of BeXBs requires a population synthesis incorporating stellar and binary evolution, SN explosions, the Be star wind structure, mass transfer processes, and the NS evolution, which is beyond the scope of this paper. Here we focus on the origin of the the $P_{\rm s}$ distribution, which shows a much clearer bimodal feature than the $P_{\rm orb}$ distribution in the current sample. We expect that the orbital periods of BeXBs may be largely dependent on the initial parameters of the progenitor binaries and the SN mechanisms, since tidal interaction is unable to change them effectively in wide orbits, while the NS spin periods are likely to be determined by the accretion processes in BeXBs. In Section 2 we compare the statistical characteristics of the outbursts in the two subpopulations, and present qualitative argument that the bimodal $P_{\rm s}$ distribution can be ascribed to different accretion modes of the NSs. We discuss the possible implications on the SN mechanisms in Section 3, and summarize in Section 4.

2. The spin period distribution

It is uncertain how different types of SNe can influence the initial parameters of the newborn NSs. However, since the current spin periods of the NSs in BeXBs are generally much longer than the initial periods, their distribution must be determined by the interaction between the NSs and the captured material during the evolution, which has erased any feature in the initial distribution. So the bimodal $P_{\rm s}$ distribution is likely to result from different spin histories of the NSs.

The NS spin evolution in HMXBs depends on the angular momentum transfer between the NS and the captured matter from its companion star. It can be briefly outlined as follows (Davies & Pringle 1981, see also Dai et al. 2006). A newborn NS usually spins rapidly (with $P_{\rm s}$ much less than 1 second) so that the transferred matter from its companion star is stopped by the strong magnetic dipole radiation outside the light cylinder radius (or the Bondi accretion radius). The NS acts as a radio pulsar with magnetic dipole radiation

responsible for its spin-down. This is called the ejector phase. When the magnetic dipole radiation can no longer prevent the wind matter penetrating the light cylinder, the accretion flow starts to interact with the NS magnetic field, ceasing the pulsar activity, and the NS enters the propeller phase. In this phase, the accretion flow is balanced by the rotating magnetic field at the magnetospheric radius $R_{\rm m}$. Accretion is inhibited and the rotating NS loses its angular momentum by ejecting the material at $R_{\rm m}$. This propeller phase ends when the accretion flow overcomes the centrifugal barrier and falls onto the NS. At this time the NS spin period evolves to the equilibrium period given by (Davies & Pringle 1981)

$$P_{\rm eq,w} \simeq 62 B_{12}^{6/7} R_6^{18/7} M_1^{-5/7} \dot{M}_{14}^{-3/7}\,{\rm s}, \tag{1}$$

where $B = 10^{12} B_{12}$ G is the NS surface magnetic field strength, $M = M_1 M_\odot$ the NS mass, $R = 10^6 R_6$ cm the NS radius, and $\dot{M} = 10^{14} \dot{M}_{14}$ gs^{-1} the mass capture rate. If the value of B is constant, the maximum of $P_{\rm eq,w}$ is attained when $\dot{M}$ takes its lowest value. This occurs when the Be star wind changes from disklike to spherical and/or the NS moves around the apastron in an eccentric orbit. In the following accretor phase, if the captured material possesses enough angular momentum it will evolve into an accretion disk, so the NS can be spun up or down to a new equilibrium period (Pringle & Rees 1972; Ghosh & Lamb 1979),

$$P_{\rm eq,d} \simeq 4.8 (\omega_{\rm c}/0.5)^{-1} B_{12}^{6/7} R_6^{18/7} M_1^{-5/7} \dot{M}_{17}^{-3/7}\,{\rm s}, \tag{2}$$

where $\omega_{\rm c}$ is the "fastness" parameter ranging between 0 and 1, and $\dot{M}_{17} = \dot{M}/10^{17}$ gs^{-1}. Since most BeXBs are in eccentric orbits and transient, the NS does not evolve along the above track monotonously, but transits between the propeller (sometimes even the ejector) and the accretor phases, with its spin period lying between $P_{\rm eq,w}$ and $P_{\rm eq,d}$. If there is efficient disk accretion and spin-up, $P_{\rm s}$ is likely to be close to $P_{\rm eq,d}$. Otherwise, it stays around $P_{\rm eq,w}$.

Observational and theoretical developments since 1990s have shown that the winds from Be stars are in the form of Keplerian disks, held by viscosity and having small radial velocities (e.g., Lee et al. 1991; Wood et al. 1993; Okazaki 2001; Porter & Rivinius 2003; Carciofi et al. 2009; Jones et al. 2009; Sigut et al. 2009; McGill et al. 2013). Because of its eccentric orbit, the NS can capture gas from the Be star disk only for a short span of time when it moves close to the disk, giving rise to transient X-ray outbursts. There are two types of X-ray outbursts in BeXBs. Normal (Type I) X-ray outbursts occur at or near the periastron passage. The X-ray luminosity ($L_{\rm X}$) increases from its quiescent value by about one order of magnitude to $\sim 10^{36} - 10^{37}$ ergs^{-1}. The duration is a small fraction of the orbital period, typically $(0.2 - 0.3) P_{\rm orb}$. Giant (Type II) outbursts are significantly brighter ($L_{\rm X} > 10^{37}$ ergs^{-1}) and less frequent than normal outbursts. The duration is about tens of days ($\gtrsim 0.5 P_{\rm orb}$, sometimes over one orbital period), and no orbital modulation has been detected. Spin-up episodes of the NS in BeXBs have been seen during both giant and normal outbursts (e.g.,

Parmar et al. 1989; Bildsten et al. 1997; Wilson et al. 2008), suggesting the existence of an accretion disk.

The detailed process of how a NS captures matter from the Be star disk is not clear. Angular momentum transfer in this regime is also difficult to quantify, though some information can be obtained from the SPH simulations (Haigh & Okazaki 2004, 2006). Negueruela & Okazaki (2001) and Okazaki & Negueruela (2001) argued that, the Be star disk is tidally truncated by the NS so that mass transfer occurs preferentially via leakage from the disk at the inner Lagrangian point near the periastron passage (Okazaki et al. 2002), resulting in normal outbursts. Giant outbursts are likely to be caused by accretion from a warped Be star disk that is misaligned with the binary orbital plane (Negueruela et al. 2001; Okazaki et al. 2013). The reason is that, in misaligned systems the tidal torque is weaker than in coplanar systems, so the truncation radius could be larger than the periastron separation, and the NS could capture material at a high enough rate when passaging through the warped part of the Be disk (Martin et al. 2011). There is observational evidence for warped Be star disks before or during giant outbursts. For example, Negueruela et al. (2001) and Reig et al. (2007) found that before and during the giant outbursts of 4U 0115+634, the Hα emission line from the optical counterpart changed from a usual double-peaked profile to a single-peaked or shell-line profile on a timescale of a year or so. Complicated changes in the Hα line profiles during and after the 2009 giant outburst of A0535+262 have also been observed (Moritani et al. 2011), and interpreted by a precessing, warped Be star disk (Moritani et al. 2013). The misalignment between the spin axes of the Be star and the binary orbit is thought to originate from the SN explosion, especially the associated kick (Martin et al. 2009).

To investigate whether there is any potential relation between the outburst characteristics and the bimodal $P_{\rm s}$ distribution, we plot in Fig. 1 the distribution of BeXBs in the Galaxy, the Large and Small Magellanic Clouds (LMC and SMC) with known outburst behavior. The green, red, blue and orange symbols denote sources with type I outbursts only, with type II outbursts only, persistent sources, and sources with both type I and type II outbursts, respectively (data are taken from Raguzova & Popov 2005; Rajoelimanana et al. 2011; Townsend et al. 2011, and references therein). The dashed horizontal and vertical lines correspond to $P_{\rm s} = 40$ s and $P_{\rm orb} = 60$ days, which separate the population in $P_{\rm s}$ and $P_{\rm orb}$, respectively. Among the 30 short-$P_{\rm s}$ BeXBs, 23 showed giant outbursts, while only 10 out of the 39 long-$P_{\rm s}$ BeXBs showed giant outbursts. This seems to indicate that the $P_{\rm s}$ distribution may be related to the occurrence of giant outbursts, which are characterized by long episodes of spin-up. We also plot the same distribution in Fig. 2, discriminating systems with different peak luminosity (in units of 10^{37} ergs^{-1}) during outbursts. Obviously short-$P_{\rm s}$ systems tend to have higher peak luminosities. To show this feature more clearly, we plot in Fig. 3 the distribution of the peak (or persistent) luminosity for BeXBs with $P_{\rm s} > 40$ s

(blue line) and ≤ 40 s (red line). A bimodal distribution with characteristic luminosities of a few 10^{36} ergs^{-1} and a few 10^{38} ergs^{-1} is seen. Note that this result may be subject to the difference in the star formation histories and the metallicities of the Galaxy, LMC and SMC, which seem to play a role in the formation of Be stars, as they are more frequent in the SMC. Although a complete census of the outbursts in BeXBs is lacking, these figures indicate that the current spin period distributions in the two subpopulations are likely related to the different outburst characteristics.

However, the difference in the NS accretion rate itself is not large enough to explain the difference in the spin periods in the two subpopulations. According to Eq. (1) or (2), changing $\dot{M}$ by a factor of $10-100$ can lead to the change of $P_{\rm s}$ by a factor of $\sim 3-7$. A more important factor may lie in different accretion modes of the NSs. Although during both normal and giant outbursts there may be (transitional) accretion disks formed around the NSs, the structure of the disks can be quite different. It was pointed out by Okazaki et al. (2013) that, if the accretion disk during normal outbursts is a geometrically thin, radiatively-cooling dominated (Shakura & Sunyaev 1973), the accretion timescale (i.e., the viscous timescale) will be several times the orbital period, much longer than the outburst duration. In such a situation the system will exhibit no rapid nor large X-ray flux changes seen in the outbursts. This implies that the accretion flow should be radiatively inefficient. Indeed, it is already known that accretion disks with $\dot{M} <\sim 10^{16}$ gs^{-1} are likely to be in the form of geometrically thick, advection-dominated accretion flows (ADAFs) (Narayan & Yi 1994, 1995). The radial velocity in ADAFs is comparable with the Keplerian velocity, so that the accretion timescale is much shorter than in thin disks, consistent with the duration of normal outbursts. Meanwhile the angular velocity in ADAFs is significantly lower than the Keplerian one in thin disks. The consequence is that the spin-up torque is relatively smaller and the equilibrium period becomes longer (Dai & Li 2006), i.e.,

$$P_{\rm eq,ADAF} \simeq 64.4 (A/0.2)^{-1} (\omega_{\rm c}/0.5)^{-1} B_{12}^{6/7} R_6^{18/7} M_1^{-5/7} \dot{M}_{16}^{-3/7} \, {\rm s}, \quad (3)$$

where $A = \Omega_{\rm ADAF}(R_{\rm m})/\Omega_{\rm K}(R_{\rm m})$, and $\dot{M}_{16} = \dot{M}/10^{16}$ gs^{-1}. Taking typical value of $A \sim 0.2-0.3$ (Yi et al. 1997), we find that the equilibrium period $P_{\rm eq,ADAF}$ is several times larger than $P_{\rm eq,d}$ with the same values of B and $\dot{M}$.

According to the above arguments, we tentatively propose an explanation for the bimodality in the $P_{\rm s}$ distribution. In BeXBs that tend to experience giant outbursts, the NS accretes from a thin disk with relatively long lifetime, the efficient mass and angular momentum transfer results in spin-up during the outbursts, so that its spin period reaches $\sim P_{\rm eq,d}$ with typical value of ~ 10 s. In BeXBs where normal outbursts dominate or there are no outbursts at all, the accretion flow around the NS is an ADAF (or quasi-spherical), so that there is relatively infrequent effective spin-up. The spin period lies between $\sim P_{\rm eq,w}$ and

$\sim P_{\rm eq,ADAF}$, with typical value of ~ 100 s. Thus the subpopulations of BeXBs may originate from different accretion modes of the NSs.

In the above picture, disk warping plays an important role in determining the spin evolution of the NSs. In the Be star disks the tidal torque exerted by the NS balances the viscous torque at the tidal warp radius $R_{\rm tw}$. Outside of $R_{\rm tw}$ the disk is dominated by the tidal torque, which flattens the disk and makes it to align with the binary orbital plane (Martin et al. 2009)[1]. With standard parameters (i.e., $1.4\,M_\odot$ NS and $17\,M_\odot$ Be star) for a BeXB, the tidal warp radius can be expressed as follows (Martin et al. 2011),

$$R_{\rm tw} \simeq 9.3\times10^{10}(1-e^2)^{3/4}\alpha(\frac{P_{\rm orb}}{1\,{\rm d}})\,{\rm cm}, \tag{4}$$

where α is the viscous parameter corresponding to the vertical shear in the disk. For BeXBs, the mass ratio of the NS and the Be star is approximately $0.1-0.2$, so the truncation radius is $\lesssim 0.5d$, where d is the binary separation. A necessary condition for the interaction between a warped disk and a NS at periastron is $R_{\rm tw} < 0.5a(1-e)$, where a is the semi-major axis of the binary. Combining this with Eq. (4) yields

$$P_{\rm orb} < (72.5\,{\rm days})\alpha^3\frac{(1-e)^3}{(1-e^2)^{9/4}}. \tag{5}$$

In Fig. 4 we show the distribution of BeXBs in the $P_{\rm orb}-e$ diagram, with blue, red, and green symbols representing binaries with $P_{\rm s} > 40$ s, ≤ 40 s, and unknown spin periods, respectively. The two curves correspond to the limit given by Eq. (5) with $\alpha = 1$ (upper) and 0.5 (lower). We see that most of the short-$P_{\rm s}$ BeXBs are in the regions confined by the curves, suggesting possible existence of disk warping.

3. Discussion

We emphasize that the argument in Section 2 is based on the global properties of the BeXB population rather than the individual source characteristics. Actually Fig. 1 shows that there is no strict one to one correspondence between short/long $P_{\rm s}$ and the occurrence of giant/normal outbursts. The transient nature of BeXBs means that it is impossible to monitor all outbursts for each source, so our classification of the outburst behavior is obviously incomplete. Evolution of the Be star disk also influences the characteristics of

[1]If the disk does not extend up to the tidal radius, the torque can still have an effect on the disk and cause the it to move towards alignment with the binary orbit even if they do not completely align.

outbursts and the NS spin evolution. Nevertheless, the features in Figs. 1- 3 strongly suggest that different accretion modes of the NSs may be behind the origin of the subpopulations.

The SN mechanisms can be related with the subpopulations through their influence on the initial orbital period, eccentricity, and misalignment of the Be star disk. Population synthesis calculations by Linden et al. (2009) showed that the ECS channel may be efficient at forming BeXBs, especially in the SMC, in which the population of HMXBs has been found to have relatively wide orbits and low eccentricities. However, they did not compare the characteristics of BeXBs formed through the CCS and ECS channels. To examine the influence of different kinds of SNe on the orbital period distribution, we employ a Monte-Carlo method to simulate the formation of BeXBs. We adopt the binary population synthesis (BPS) code developed by Hurley et al. (2000, 2002) to calculate the evolutions of a large number of the primordial binaries, which is similar to the code *StarTrack* used by Linden et al. (2009). We consider Solar abundance for the stars, with most of the input parameters (i.e., the distributions of the orbital separation, mass ratio, the initial mass function of the mass of the primary star) same as the standard ones described by Hurley et al. (2002). Detailed description of the method and calculated results will be presented elsewhere (Shao & Li 2014). Some relevant key points in the calculations are listed below.

1. We consider both CCS and ECS for the NS formation. For ECS, we adopt the following criterion suggested by Fryer et al. (2012). If the core mass $M_{\rm c,bagb}$ of the primary star (i.e., the NS's progenitor) at the base of asymptotic giant branch is between $1.83M_{\odot}$ and $2.25M_{\odot}$, the CO core will non-explosively burn into an ONe core, and the core mass is accumulated gradually. If its mass can reach $M_{\rm esc} = 1.38M_{\odot}$, the ONe core will collapse due to electron capture into Mg and form a NS. If the mass is less than $M_{\rm esc}$, it will leave an ONe WD.

2. We apply a Maxwellian distribution for the SN kick velocity imparted to the newborn NS, with one dimensional rms velocity $\sigma = 265$ kms^{-1} for CCS (Hobbs et al. 2005) and 50 kms^{-1} for ECS (Pfahl et al. 2002), respectively.

3. We define a BeXB to be a binary consisting of a NS and a main-sequence (i.e., core H burning) companion star with mass between 8 $M_{\odot}$ and 20 $M_{\odot}$, which does not fill its Roche-lobe. Since Be stars are rapidly rotating, we also consider the influence of tidal synchronization on the Be stars. Only systems with the synchronization timescale greater than the main-sequence lifetime of the Be star are taken into account.

The calculated normalized orbital period distributions of BeXBs are plotted in Fig. 5. The red and black curves denote systems formed through CCS and ECS, respectively. In

the left panel the secondary star is regarded as a Be star when its rotational velocity is accelerated to 80% of its break-up velocity due to previous mass transfer. In the right panel we assume that a constant fraction of B stars are Be stars. Note that in both cases the orbital periods have similar, wide distributions, with CCS-BeXBs peaked at $P_{\rm orb} \sim 40 - 50$ days and ECS-BeXBs at ~ 100 days. It seems that CCS-BeXBs dominate at $P_{\rm orb} <\sim 20 - 50$ days, but at longer $P_{\rm orb}$ the numbers of the two classes of objects are comparable. We need to caution that the number and distribution of ECS-BeXBs depend on the adopted mass range of the ECS progenitors (Nomoto 1984, 1987; Podsiadlowski et al. 2004; Siess 2007; Poelarends et al. 2008), which is not well understood. Nevertheless, a bimodal orbital period distribution from the two types of SN channels seems not to exist.

Another factor that can influence the $P_{\rm s}$ distribution and might be related to the SN mechanisms is the NS magnetic field. In the above estimates we assume that all the NSs possess a magnetic field of order 10^{12} G. It is not known how NSs born in CCS and ECS differ in their magnetic fields. For BeXBs with measured cyclotron resonance scattering features in their X-ray spectra, the characteristic line energies range from 10 to 55 keV (Pottschmidt et al. 2012, and references therein), suggesting comparable field strengths (a few 10^{12} G) in the NSs. However, there is indirect evidence that the NS magnetic field strengths may occupy a wide range. For example, from the measured spin-up rate in the 9.28 s Be/X-ray pulsar 2S1553−542, Pahari & Pal (2012) derived a relatively low field $B \sim 5 \times 10^{11}$ G for the NS. In another case, the spin-down rate measured in the 1062 s Be/X-ray pulsar SXP1062 implies that the NS possesses a magnetic field $B \gtrsim 10^{14}$ G (Fu & Li 2012). The large scatter of BeXBs in the Corbet diagram may be partly due to the distribution and evolution of the NS magnetic field.

4. Summary

In this paper we argue that the bimodal $P_{\rm s}$ distribution in BeXBs may not be directly linked to the two SN channels for the NS formation, and is more likely to be ascribed to the difference in the accretion flows onto the NSs. This is indicated by the occurrence of giant/normal outbursts in the short- and long-$P_{\rm s}$ subpopulations, which reflect different spin-up efficiencies. We point out that the difference in the accretion rate during normal and giant outbursts is not enough to account for the range of $P_{\rm s}$ in the two subpopulations, and the structure of the accretion flows may play a more vital role. Normal outbursts are thought to be triggered by the mass transfer from a tidally truncated disk at or near periastron passage while giant outbursts are somehow associated with the warping episodes of the Be star disk (Okazaki et al. 2013, and references therein). On one hand, if the accretion disk during the

normal outbursts are transitional and in the form of ADAF, the NS spin period is relatively longer due to the lower accretion rate, shorter spin-up duration, and especially lower angular velocity in the ADAF (the persistent low-luminosity BeXBs usually have long spin periods, and the NSs may be fed by low-velocity winds). On the other hand, the accretion disks formed during giant outbursts are radiatively-cooling dominated, and the NSs experience longer episodes of spin-up with higher accretion rate, evolving to shorter spin periods. The two types of SN mechanisms can influence the NS spin evolution through the configuration of the Be star disk, but they seem not to result in a bimodal distribution of the orbital period.

We are grateful to an anonymous referee for helpful comments. This work was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China under grant numbers 11133001 and 11333004.

REFERENCES

Bhattacharya, D. & van den Heuvel, E. P. J. 1991, Phys. Rep., 203, 1

Bildsten, L., Chakrabarty, D., Chiu, J., et al. 1997, ApJS, 113, 367

Carciofi, A. C., Okazaki, A. T., Le Bouquin, J.-B., et al. 2009, A&A, 504, 915

Coe, M.J., McBride, V. A., & Corbet, R. H. D. 2010, MNRAS, 401, 252

Corbet, R. H. D. 1984, A&A, 141, 91

Corbet, R. H. D. 1985, Space Sci. Rev., 40,409

Corbet, R. H. D. 1986, MNRAS, 220, 1047

Dai, H.-L. & Li, X.-D. 2006, A&A, 451, 581

Dai, H.-L., Liu, X.-W., & Li, X.-D. 2006, ApJ, 653, 1410

Davies, R. E. & Pringle, J.E., 1981, MNRAS, 196, 209

Esposito, P., Israel, G. L., Sidoli, L., et al. 2013, MNRAS, 433, 2028

Foglizzo, T., Galletti, P., & Ruffert, M. 2005, A&A, 435, 397

Fryer, C., Belczynski, K., Wiktorowicz, G., et al. 2012, ApJ, 749, 91

Fu, L. & Li, X.-D. 2012, ApJ, 757, 171

Ghosh, P. & Lamb, F. K., 1979, ApJ, 234, 296

Haberl, F., Angelini, L., & Motch, C. 1998, A&A, 335, 587

Haigh, N. J., Coe, M. J., & Fabregat, J. 2004, MNRAS, 350, 1457

Hayasaki, K. & Okazaki, A. T. 2004, MNRAS, 350, 971

Hayasaki, K. & Okazaki, A. T. 2006, MNRAS, 372, 1140

Hénault-Brunet, V., Oskinova, L. M., Guerrero, M. A., et al. 2012, MNRAS, 420, L13

Hobbs, G., Lorimer, D. R., Lyne, A. G., & Kramer, M. 2005, MNRAS, 360, 974

Hurley, J. R., Pols, O.R., & Tout, C. A. 2000, MNRAS, 315, 543

Hurley, J. R., Tout, C. A., & Pols, O. R. 2002, MNRAS, 329, 897

Jones, C. E., Molak, A., Sigut, T. A. A., et al. 2009, MNRAS, 392, 383

King, A. R. 1991, MNRAS, 250, 3

Knigge, C., Coe, M. J., & Podsiadlowski, Ph. 2011, Nature, 479, 372

Lee, U., Osaki, Y., & Saio, H. 1991, MNRAS, 250, 432

Li, X.-D. & van den Heuvel, E. P. J. 1996, A&A, 314, L13

Linden, T., Sepinsky, J. F., Kalogera, V., & Belczynski, K. 2009, ApJ, 699, 1573

Martin, R. G., Pringle, J.E., Tout, C. A., & Lubow, S. H. 2011, MNRAS, 416, 2827

Martin, R. G., Tout, C. A., & Pringle, J. E. 2009, MNRAS, 397, 1563

McGill, M. A., Sigut, T. A. A., & Jones, C. E. 2013, ApJS, 204, 2

McSwain, M. V. & Gies, D. R. 2005, ApJS, 161, 118

Moritani, Y., Nogami, D., Okazaki, A. T., et al. 2011, PASJ, 63, L25

Moritani, Y., Nogami, D., Okazaki, A. T., et al. 2013, PASJ, 65, 83

Nagase, F. 1989, PASJ, 41, 1

Narayan, R. & Yi, I. 1994, ApJ, 428, L13

Narayan, R. & Yi, I. 1995, ApJ, 452, 710

Negueruela, I. & Okazaki, A.T., 2001, A&A, 369, 108

Negueruela, I., Okazaki, A. T., Fabregat, J., et al. 2001, A&A, 369, 117

Nomoto, K. 1984, ApJ, 277, 791

Nomoto, K. 1987, ApJ, 322, 206

Okazaki, A. T. 2001, PASJ, 53, 119

Okazaki, A. T., Bate, M. R., Ogilvie, G. I & Pringle, J. E., 2002, MNRAS, 337, 967

Okazaki, A. T., Hayasaki, K., & Moritani, Y. 2013, PASJ, 65, 41

Okazaki, A. T. & Negueruela, I. 2001, A&A, 377, 161

Pahari, M. & Pal, S. 2012, MNRAS, 423, 3352

Parmar, A. N., White, N. E., Stella, L., Izzo, C., & Ferri, P. 1989, ApJ, 338, 359

Pfahl, E., Rappaport, S., Podsiadlowski, P., & Spruit, H. 2002, ApJ, 574, 364

Podsiadlowski, P., Langer, N., Poelarends, A. J. T., et al. 2004, ApJ, 612, 1044

Poelarends, A. J. T., Herwig, F., Langer, N., & Heger, A. 2008, ApJ, 675, 614

Porter, J. M. & Rivinius, T. 2003, PASP, 115, 1153

Pottschmidt, K., Suchy, S., Rivers, E., et al. 2012, in SUZAKU 2011: Exploring the X-ray Universe: Suzaku and Beyond, ed. R. Petre, K. Mitsuda, and L. Angelini (AIP Conference Proceedings), Volume 1427, p. 60

Pradhan, P., Maitra, C., Paul, B., & Paul, B. C. 2013, MNRAS, 436, 945

Pringle, J. E. & Rees, M. J. 1972, A&A, 21, 1

Raguzova, N. V. & Popov, S. B. 2005, Astron. Astrophys. Transactions, 24, 151

Rajoelimanana, A. F., Charles, P. A., & Udalski, A. 2011, MNRAS, 413, 1600

Reig, P. 2011, Ap&SS, 332, 1

Reig, P., Larionov, V., Negueruela, I., Arkharov, A. A., & Kudryavtseva, N. A. 2007, A&A, 462, 1081

Reig, P. & Roche, P. 1999, MNRAS, 306, 100

Siess, L. 2007, A&A, 476, 893

Shakura, N. I. & Sunyaev, R. A. 1973, A&A, 24, 337

Shao, Y. & Li, X.-D. 2014, submitted

Sigut, T. A. A., McGill, M. A., & Jones, C. E. 2009, ApJ, 699, 1973

Stella, L., White, N. E., & Rosner, R. 1986, ApJ, 308, 669

Townsend, L. J., Coe, M. J., Corbet, R. H. D., & Hill, A. B. 2011, MNRAS, 416, 1556

van den Heuvel, E. P. J. 2004, in ESA Special Publication, Vol. 552, 5th INTEGRAL Workshop on the INTEGRAL Universe, ed. V. Schoenfelder, G. Lichti, & C. Winkler (Noordwijk: ESA Publications Division), 185

van den Heuvel, E. P. J. & Rappaport, S. 1987, in Proc. IAU symposium 98, Physics of Be stars, eds. A. Slettebak & T. P. Snow (Cambridge University Press), p. 291

Waters, L. B. F. M. & van Kerkwijk, M. H. 1989, A&A, 223, 196

White, N. E., Mason, K. O., Sanford, P. W., & Murdin, P., 1976, MNRAS, 176, 201

Wilson, C. A., Finger, M. H., & Camero-Arranz, A. 2008, ApJ, 678, 1263

Wood, K., Bjorkman, K. S., & Bjorkman, J. E. 1997, ApJ, 477, 926

Yi, I., Wheeler, J. C., & Vishniac, E. T. 1997, ApJ, 481, L51

This preprint was prepared with the AAS LaTeX macros v5.2.



Fig. 1.— The Corbet diagram for BeXBs. We use different colored symbols to represent the outburst behavior for each source. The dashed horizontal and vertical lines correspond to $P_{\rm s} = 40$ s and $P_{\rm orb} = 60$ days, respectively.



Fig. 2.— The Corbet diagram for BeXBs. We use different colored symbols to represent the range of the peak luminosity for each source.



Fig. 3.— The histogram distribution of the peak (or persistent) luminosities of BeXBs. The blue and red lines denote systems with the NS spin periods > 40 s and ≤ 40 s, respectively.



Fig. 4.— The dependence of the eccentricity on the orbital period in BeXBs. The blue, red, and green symbols denote systems with the NS spin periods > 40 s, ≤ 40 s, and with unknown spin periods, respectively. The two curves correspond to Eq. (5) with $\alpha = 1$ (upper) and 0.5 (lower), respectively.



Fig. 5.— The normalized orbital period distribution of BeXBs derived from BPS calculations. The red and black lines denote systems in which the NSs were born with CCS and ECS, respectively. In the left and right panels, the Be stars are assumed to be rapidly rotating B stars caused by previous mass transfer, and occupy a constant fraction of B stars, respectively.